

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,309	06/19/2007	Bernard Jeannin	3714652-00505	3498
29157 K&L Gates LI			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1135			PASCUA, JES F	
CHICAGO, II	. 60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568,309 JEANNIN, BERNARD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jes F. Pascua 3782 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 October 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3782

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8, 12-15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Document No. 26 09 520 to Kimura and U.S. Patent No. 4.598.826 to Shinbach.

Kimura discloses the claimed invention except for the strips having a thickness from about 20 to 50 microns. Shinbach teaches that it is known in the art to provide analogous strips (strip material 18 comprised of three layers 20, 22, 24) having a thickness (i.e. the combined thicknesses of each layer 20, 22, 24) from about 38.1 microns to about 76.2 microns. See column 3, lines 3-23. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the strips of Kimura with a range of thickness from about 38.1 microns to about 76.2 microns, as in Shinbach, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Furthermore, there is nothing in applicant's specification that indicates 20 to 50 micron thick strips are critical and provide any unexpected result.

Regarding claim 6, Kimura discloses the claimed invention except for the width of the strips being about 1.2 mm to 2.5 mm. It would have been an obvious matter of

Art Unit: 3782

design choice to make the strips of Kimura 1.2 mm to 2.5 mm wide, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Furthermore, there is nothing in applicant's specification that indicates 1.2 mm to 2.5 mm wide strips are critical and provide any unexpected result.

Regarding claim 22, Kimura discloses the claimed invention except for the strips being formed from polyester, polyethylene or polypropylene. Shinbach teaches that it is known in the art to provide analogous strips (18) using polyester, polyethylene or polypropylene. See column 3, lines 3-23 of, wherein Shinbach that the intermediate layer (22) of the strip (18) may be polyester and the inner and outer layers (20, 24) of the strip (18) may be the same material as the layer (16) of the package to which the strip sealed. Column 2, lines 58-66 of Shinbach discloses the layer (16) as polyethylene or polypropylene. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polyester, polyethylene or polypropylene for the strips of Kimura, as in Shinbach, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. Furthermore, there is nothing applicant's specification indicating strips formed of polyester, polyethylene or polypropylene are critical or provide any unexpected result.

 Claims 9, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Document No. 26 09 520 to Kimura and U.S. Patent No. 4.598.826 to

Art Unit: 3782

Shinbach, as applied in claims 1, 7 and 12, and in further view of French Document No. 2 832 698 to Jammet et al.

Kimura and Shinbach disclose the claimed device, as discussed above, except for the strips being located on the inner surface of the walls or within the laminate material. Jammet et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide strips on the inner surface of the walls or within the laminate material of an analogous container. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the strips of the modified Kimura container on the inner surface of the walls or within the laminate material of the container, as suggested by Jammet et al., in order to hide the strips from view.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Document No. 26 09 520 to Kimura and U.S. Patent No. 4,598,826 to Shinbach, as applied in claim 1, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,186,543 to Cochran.

Kimura and Shinbach disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for one pair of strips being located on an internal wall surface and another pair on an external wall surface. Fig. 12 Cochran discloses that it is known in the art to locate one pair of strips on an internal wall surface (i.e., interior pair of strips 30, 32) and another pair on an external wall surface (i.e., exterior pair of strips 30, 32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate one pair of strips on an internal wall surface and another pair on an external wall

Art Unit: 3782

surface in the modified Kimura container, as suggested by Cochran, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3782

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 714.02 and MPEP 2163.06. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,309 Page 7

Art Unit: 3782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782